

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,922	11/19/2003	David Rives	SEALED 3.0-042	6414
530	7590 11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,		,	LONEY, DONALD J	
KRUMHOLZ	& MENTLIK			
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			1772	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/716,922	RIVES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .	
	Donald Loney	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS c, cause the application to become ABAND	FION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application		•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.			•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		he Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	l(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= : :	-	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Appli	cation No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	. , ,,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/15/05.		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/716,922 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 17, 18, 20, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berner (3506533).

Berner discloses a foam web 23 containing ribs (the section between grooves 27) wherein a metal foil layer 24 is attached thereto. Channels are formed by grooves 27 being covered with foil layer 24. An additional metal foil layer 25 is present on the other side of the foam per instant claims 18, 20 and 21. Refer to figure 10 along with column 1, lines 52-60 and column 4, lines 15-48.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-16,19, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berner in view of either Radtke et al (4637184) or Lindsay (4825089).

The primary reference teaches the invention substantially as recited except for the metal film including an additional plastic layer. See the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection above.

Both secondary references teach to include a metallized plastic film to a structured foam layer in order to influence heat transfer from the hollow spaces (i.e. channels) into the material of the floor top. Refer to layer 12 or 21 in Radtke et al which is disclosed as a metal film and/or metal/plastic film along with column 6, lines 66-68 and column 7, lines 1 and 2. Refer to layers 122, 124 and 126 in Lindsay along with column 6, lines 45-57.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to Berner to attach a plastic/metal foil layer to the ribbed foam layer, as is taught by the secondary references in order to influence heat transfer from the hollow spaces (i.e. channels) into the material of the floor top motivated by the fact Radtke et al specifically discloses the foil layer be metal or a combined metal/plastic foil. The specific materials for the plastic and metal layers, density of the foam, size of the ribs and additives in the claims are deemed obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since plastics, metals foam and ribs are disclosed in the prior art. The additives are a known means for an intended function.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Loney whose telephone number is (571) 272-1493. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs and Fri. 8AM-4PM, flex schedule.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,922 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald Loney Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

DJL:D.Loney 11/13/05